UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/981,015	10/17/2001	1573	5595		
²⁸⁰⁰⁴ SPRIN T	7590 08/14/200	8	EXAMINER		
6391 SPRINT F			RAMPURIA, SHARAD K		
KSOPHT0101- OVERLAND P	22100 PARK, KS 66251-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No. Applicant(s)		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			09/981,015		DISPENSA ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
		;	SHARAD RA	MPURIA	2617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ars on the c	over sheet with the o	correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum stree to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS (a). In no event, apply and will example ause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin kpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 16 Jun	e 2008						
,	, ,	2b)⊠ This a		-final					
3)		<i>7</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
-		ding in the an	nlication						
·—	Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 41-60</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	_ ·								
	5)∭ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 41-60</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	olog.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election rea	uirement					
		otion and/or c	oloolloii roq	an omone.					
Applicati	on Papers								
-	The specification is objected to by th								
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the dr	awing(s) be l	neld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exai	miner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4; 5; 6;	T = .	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-20, 41-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moura; Eduardo J. et al. [US 6104727 A] in view of FABIANO L J et al. [WO 0067449 A1].

As per claim 1, Moura teaches:

A method of operating a probe device in a broadband wireless system (Abstract), the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2617

storing the channel information in a memory in the probe device; and transferring the channel information from the memory to a user system. (e.g. storing; Col.6; 32-58)

Moura doesn't teach specifically, receiving a message; processing the message to determine channel information describing actual use of each of a plurality of channels in the broadband wireless system by each of a plurality of users, wherein the channel information describing actual use includes a per-user breakdown of a time spent in each channel. However, FABIANO advocates in an analogous art, that receiving a message; processing the message to determine channel information describing actual use of each of a plurality of channels in the broadband wireless system by each of a plurality of users, wherein the channel information describing actual use includes a per-user breakdown of a time spent in each channel. (Pg.5; 1-9, Pg.14; 3-19) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify **Moura** including receiving a message; processing the message to determine channel information describing actual use of each of a plurality of channels in the broadband wireless system by each of a plurality of users, wherein the channel information describing actual use includes a per-user breakdown of a time spent in each channel in order to provide a method of dynamic network transport resource allocation for communication, by adjusting initially allocated bandwidth or time slice by geographically distributed controllers to optimize file transmission on network.

As per claim 2, Moura teaches:

16)

The method of claim 1 wherein the channels are upstream. (e.g. upstream; Col.14; 55-Col.15;

Art Unit: 2617

As per claim 3, Moura teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the channels are downstream. (e.g. downstream; Col.14; 55-

Page 4

Col.15; 16)

As per claim 4, Moura teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the message is a credit that allows usage of one of the channels. (e.g. credit; Col.14; 55-Col.15; 16)

As per claim 5, Moura teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the message indicates a completion of usage of one of the channels. (e.g. completion; Col.13; 11-24)

As per claim 6, **Moura** teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the probe device is connected to a switch in the broadband wireless system. (e.g. Col.5; 40-54)

As per claim 7, **Moura** teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the probe device is connected to an upstream manager in the broadband wireless system. (e.g.; Col.13; 11-24)

As per claim 8, Moura teaches:

Art Unit: 2617

The method of claim 1 wherein the probe device is connected to a downstream manager in the broadband wireless system. (e.g. downstream; Col.14; 55-Col.15; 16)

Page 5

As per claim 9, Moura teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein processing the message comprises determining a state of one of the channels. (e.g. state of channels; Col.14; 55-Col.15; 16)

As per claim 10, Moura teaches:

The method of claim 9 wherein the state is polling. (e.g. polling; Col.14; 55-Col.15; 16)

As per claim 11, Moura teaches:

The method of claim 9 wherein the state is dedicated. (e.g. dedicated; Col.15; 47-60)

As per claim 12, Moura teaches:

The method of claim 9 wherein the state is idle. (e.g. idle; Col.8; 37-43)

As per claim 13, Moura teaches:

The method of claim 9 further comprising determining a time in the state. (e.g. time; Col.10; 56-64)

As per claim 14, Moura teaches:

Art Unit: 2617

The method of claim 1 wherein processing the message comprises monitoring a number of bytes transmitted. (e.g. bytes transmitted; Col.2; 13-34)

As per claim 15, Moura teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein processing the message comprises monitoring a number of messages transmitted during a state of one of the channels. (e.g. transmitted; Col.14; 55-Col.15; 16)

Page 6

As per claim 16, Moura teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the channel information comprises a state of one of the channels. (e.g. state of channels; Col.14; 55-Col.15; 16)

As per claim 17, Moura teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the channel information comprises a change in a state of one of the channels. (e.g. state of channels; Col.14; 55-Col.15; 16)

As per claim 18, Moura teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the channel information comprises a number of bytes transmitted. (e.g. bytes transmitted; Col.2; 13-34)

As per claim 19, Moura teaches:

Art Unit: 2617

The method of claim 1 wherein the channel information comprises a number of messages transmitted. (e.g. transmitted; Col.14; 55-Col.15; 16)

As per claim 20, Moura teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the channel information comprises a time in a state of one of the channels. (e.g. state of channels; Col.14; 55-Col.15; 16)

Claims 41-60 are the device claims, corresponding to method claims 1-20 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 1-20 respectively, above.

Response to Amendments & Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20, 41-60 has been fully considered but is moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (8:30-5 EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne Bost can be reached on (571) 272-7023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000 or EBC@uspto.gov.

/Sharad Rampuria/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2617 Page 8